

Feb 10, 1998 (.)
 UMN-TH-1745/98 , TPI-MINN-99/9-T

On the Relevance of Singular Solutions in dS_3 and AdS_3 Gravity

Bayram Tekin¹

*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota
 Minneapolis, MN 55455, U.S.A.*

Abstract

Canonical quantization of three dimensional gravity in the first order formalism suggests that one should allow singular solutions. This paper addresses the importance of singular solutions in the path integral approach to quantum gravity. Using a simple ansatz for the dreibein and the spin connection in the de-Sitter and the anti-de-Sitter spaces we propose that the sum over the 3D manifolds in the path integral should be extended to include 2D surfaces.

PACS: 04.20.-q , 04.60.-m

Keywords: Quantum Gravity, Instantons.

¹e-mail: tekin@mnhepw.hep.umn.edu

Pure Gravity in three dimensions is a well studied subject [1, 2]. When formulated in terms of the dreibein and the spin connection, it is equivalent to Chern-Simons theory at the classical level [3, 4]. At the heart of this rather curious correspondence between a spacetime theory of gravity and a topological field theory lies the fact that there are only constant curvature spaces in three dimensions. There are no local degrees of freedom both in 3D gravity and Chern-Simons theory.

At the classical level, the formulation of gravity in terms of the spin connection and the dreibein is equivalent to the metric formulation as long as the dreibein is invertible. The non-polynomial dependence of the action on the metric in the metric formalism makes the quantization harder if not impossible.² On the other hand canonical quantization was carried out in the first order formalism [4]. Witten showed that one has to remove the requirement that the dreibein be invertible for the quantization program to work.

In a previous paper [6] we pointed out that, in the context of path integral quantization, two dimensional classical solutions are relevant in the three dimensional Euclidean gravity with zero cosmological constant. This is possible only in the first order formalism. Although pure gravity with zero cosmological constant was shown to be finite and exactly soluble [4, 5] the importance of the singular (2D) configurations needs to be stressed. In this paper we extend our analysis to de-Sitter and the anti-de-Sitter spaces.

In order to define quantum theory of gravity in any dimensions, especially as a path integral, we have to know the global properties of the spacetimes which can not be determined from the equations of motion. The computation of the contributions of different topologies to the path integral is an extremely non-trivial problem [7] since for example in four dimensions we do not know which manifolds are homeomorphic. In the three dimensions we certainly have a better understanding in the classification of the manifolds. For a review see [8, 9, 10]. The triviality of the local data in 3D gravity and a better handle on the classification of the manifolds give us a hope to study if the path integral quantization (and maybe Hartle-Hawking no initial boundary proposal) might be realized or not. In

² This issue resembles to the issue of quantization of Nambu-Goto action versus Polyakov action in the bosonic string theory.

this paper our goal is modest and rather pedagogical. We suggest that in the Euclidean path integral one has to include 2D configurations which are zero action solutions to the 3D gravity. Our effort is to show the relevance of singular solutions in the path integral quantization. As stated earlier, in the canonical quantization singular solutions are important [4].

The standard definition of path integral in quantum gravity is a sum over the Riemannian metrics g on a manifold M . One also has to sum over topologically distinct manifolds. Considering a three dimensional Euclidean cosmological theory we have

$$Z[\lambda] = \sum_M \int \mathcal{D}g \exp -S[\lambda, g] \quad (1)$$

If the Einstein-Hilbert action is used in the above formula, then the action of a certain manifold is proportional to its volume. It is a non-trivial task to carry out the sum even in the saddle point approximation. We don't know yet if the quantum theory of gravity defined as a path integral as in the above form makes sense or not. We would like to stress that the sum is understood as a sum over 3D manifolds only.

On the other hand one might be willing to define the quantum theory as an integral over the spin connection and the dreibein.

$$Z[\lambda] = \sum_M \int \mathcal{D}e \mathcal{D}w \exp -S[\lambda, e, w] \quad (2)$$

The lesson we learn from the canonical quantization is that in the above formula we need to allow singular dreibeins which give 2D manifolds. This suggests that we should sum over the surfaces as well as the 3D manifolds. There is certainly a bit of tension between the metric and the first order formulations. I do not know how to resolve this yet. Our analysis in this letter is in the line of the second later formula.

We will consider the following action defined on a 3D manifold M with a Euclidean signature.

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^3x \epsilon^{ijk} \left\{ 2 e^a{}_i \partial_j \omega^a{}_k + \epsilon^{abc} e^a{}_i \omega^b{}_j \omega^c{}_k + \frac{\lambda}{3} \epsilon^{abc} e^a{}_i e^b{}_j e^c{}_k \right\} \quad (3)$$

This action is equivalent to the Einstein-Hilbert theory with a cosmological constant λ .³ The indices (a, b, c) denote the tangent space and (i, j, k) denote the manifold coordinates. The metrics η_{ab} and g_{ij} have Euclidean signature. $\lambda < 0$ corresponds to the de-Sitter and $\lambda > 0$ to the anti-de-Sitter space. The “dual” Riemann tensor can be defined to be $R^a{}_{kj} = \partial_k \omega^a{}_j - \partial_j \omega^a{}_k + \epsilon^a{}_{bc} \omega^b{}_k \omega^c{}_j$. The relation between the Ricci tensor and the dual Riemann tensor is $R_{ij} = e^a{}_i E^k_b \epsilon_{abc} R^c{}_{jk}$. $E^k_b(x)$ lives in the cotangent bundle as usual. The equations of motion demand that $R_{ij} = -2\lambda g_{ij}$ and so the scalar curvature is $R = -6\lambda$. We are interested in the singular solutions as well as regular ones.

We adopt the following simple $SO(3)$ symmetric ansatz which can be rather loosely called monopole-instanton.⁴

$$e^a{}_j(\vec{x}) = \frac{G}{r} \left[-\epsilon^a{}_{jk} \hat{x}^k \phi_1 + \delta^a{}_j \phi_2 + (rA - \phi_2) \hat{x}^a \hat{x}_j \right] \quad (4)$$

$$w^a{}_j(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{r} \left[\epsilon^a{}_{jk} \hat{x}^k (1 - \psi_1) + \delta^a{}_j \psi_2 + (rB - \psi_2) \hat{x}^a \hat{x}_j \right] \quad (5)$$

The functions A , B , ϕ_i and ψ_i depend on r only. What we mean by r should be clear from $r^2 = \eta_{ij} x^i x^j$. The symmetric ansatz is non-trivial since the spacetime is constructed by a collaboration of the dreibein and the spin connection which are coupled through the equations of motion.

The metric in the manifold can be recovered by the relation $g_{ij} = \eta_{ab} e^a{}_i e^b{}_j$ which yields;

$$g_{ij} = \frac{G^2}{r^2} \left\{ (\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2) (\delta_{ij} - \hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j) + r^2 A^2 \hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j \right\} \quad (6)$$

The Riemann tensor is calculated to be

$$R^a{}_{ij} = \frac{1}{r^2} \epsilon_{ijb} \hat{x}^a \hat{x}^b (\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{r} (\epsilon^a{}_{ij} - \epsilon_{ijb} \hat{x}^a \hat{x}^b) (\psi'_1 + B\psi_2)$$

³ In principle one can also add $ikS_1 = \frac{ik}{8\pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^3x \epsilon^{ijk} \left\{ \omega^a{}_i \partial_j \omega^a{}_k + \frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{abc} \omega^a{}_i \omega^b{}_j \omega^c{}_k + \lambda e^a{}_i \partial_j e^a{}_k + \epsilon^{abc} \omega^a{}_i e^b{}_j e^c{}_k \right\}$ to S_0 [11]. This action does not change the classical equations of motion but it will be relevant in the quantum theory.

⁴For an interesting ansatz see the paper[12].

$$+(\delta^a{}_j \hat{x}_i - \delta^a{}_i \hat{x}_j) \frac{1}{r} (\psi'_2 - B\psi_1) \quad (7)$$

The action reduces to the following form

$$S_0 = - \int_0^R dr \left\{ \psi'_a \epsilon_{ab} \phi_b + B\psi_a \phi_a + \frac{A}{2} (\psi_a \psi_a + \lambda G^2 \phi_a \phi_a - 1) \right\} \quad (8)$$

where $\{a, b\} = (1, 2)$ and ϵ_{ab} is antisymmetric. Summations are implied over the repeated indices. The upper limit R is arbitrary for now. Varying the action with respect to six fields give the equations of motion.

$$\epsilon_{ab} \phi'_b - A\psi_a - B\phi_a = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\epsilon_{ab} \psi'_b - B\psi_a - \lambda G^2 A \phi_a = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\psi_a \psi_a + \lambda G^2 \phi_a \phi_a - 1 = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$\phi_a \psi_a = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$(13)$$

The general solutions of these equations can be easily found as

$$\psi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda G^2 f^2(r)}} \cos \Omega(r) \quad \psi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda G^2 f^2(r)}} \sin \Omega(r) \quad (14)$$

$$\phi_1 = f(r) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda G^2 f^2(r)}} \sin \Omega(r) \quad \phi_2 = -f(r) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda G^2 f^2(r)}} \cos \Omega(r) \quad (15)$$

$$A = -\frac{f(r)'}{1 + \lambda G^2 f^2(r)} \quad B = \Omega'(r) \quad (16)$$

The two functions , $f(r)$ and $\Omega(r)$, can not be determined from the equations. Both of them represent the gauge degrees of freedom in the theory. The metric becomes

$$g_{ij} = G^2 \left\{ (\delta_{ij} - \hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j) \frac{f^2}{r^2 (1 + \lambda G^2 f^2)} + \frac{f'^2}{(1 + \lambda G^2 f^2)^2} \hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j \right\} \quad (17)$$

The line element in polar coordinates is

$$(ds)^2 = \frac{G^2}{1 + \lambda G^2 f^2} \left\{ f^2 d\Omega^2 + \frac{1}{1 + \lambda G^2 f^2} (df)^2 \right\} \quad (18)$$

Before we move any further let us mention that choosing the conformal coordinate $f(r) = 4r/G(4 - \lambda r^2)$ one obtains the standard dS_3 and AdS_3 vacuum solution.

$$g_{ij} = \frac{16}{(4 + \lambda r^2)^2} \delta_{ij} \quad (19)$$

In the quantum theory action is the relevant quantity. An easy computation gives

$$S_0 = -\lambda G^2 \int_0^R dr \frac{f^2 f'}{(1 + \lambda G^2 f^2)^2} = -\frac{\lambda}{4\pi G} \int d^3 r \det e = -\frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \text{Vol} M \quad (20)$$

Volume of the dS_3 and AdS_3 are infinite. We refer the reader to the discussion of Gibbons-Hawking [13] on how to remove the divergence in this context. Recently in the line of AdS/CFT correspondence Witten [14] gave a description to interpret these divergences as the counter terms of the conformal field theory living in the boundary (S^2 in this case). Our goal is different. We are interested in the finite action solutions. The singular gauge $f(r) = C$, where C is a constant, has zero action. This corresponds to a two dimensional sphere with a constant radius depending on the choice of C . These solutions should be included in the sum for the path integral Eqn (2).

We have proposed that two dimensional solutions, having zero action, are relevant for three dimensional quantum gravity defined as a path integral over the dreibein and the spin connection. Our ansatz was rather simple. This work can be generalized to arbitrary two dimensional surfaces. A word is in order regarding the loop computations. In the case of vanishing cosmological constant Witten [4, 5] had calculated the generating functional exactly for a given manifold M . The result reduces to the calculation of the Ray-Singer torsion of the manifold. It is not clear to the author now how to compute this torsion for singular solutions. For the case of non-zero cosmological constant an exact solution has not been given yet.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Yutaka Hosotani and Steven Carlip for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Graduate School of University of Minnesota through the Dissertation Fellowship and by the U.S. Department of Energy under the contracts DE-FG02-94ER-40823.

References

- [1] S. Deser ,R. Jackiw and G. 't Hooft ,*Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **152** (1984) 220 ; G. 't Hooft ,*Comm. Math. Phys.* **117** (1988) 685 ;S. Deser and R. Jackiw ,*Comm. Math. Phys.* **118** (1988) 495
- [2] S. Carlip “Quantum Gravity in 2+1 Dimensions” Cambridge University Press (1998)
- [3] A. Achúcarro and P.K. Townsend, *Phys. Lett.* **B180** (1986) 89
- [4] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B311** (1988) 46
- [5] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B323** (1989) 113
- [6] B. Tekin “Monopole-Instanton Type solutions in 3D Gravity” preprint hep-th/9812083
- [7] S. Carlip ,*Phys. Rev. D***46** (1992) 4387; *Class. Quant. Grav.***10** (1993) 207 ; *Class. Quant. Grav.***15** (1998) 2629
- [8] M. Lachièze-Rey , J-P. Luminet “Cosmic Topology” preprint gr-qc/9605010
- [9] R. Benedetti , C. Petronio ” Lectures on Hyperbolic Geometry” Springer-Verlag 1992
- [10] J. G. Ratcliffe ” Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds” Springer-Verlag 1994
- [11] S. Deser , R. Jackiw and S. Templeton ,*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 976
- [12] B. Keszthelyi, E. Piard , P. Ramond , *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991) 1
- [13] G. W. Gibbons , S. W. Hawking , *Phys. Rev. D***15** (1977) 2752
- [14] E. Witten Adv. Theor. Math. Phys**2** (1998) 505